

Computational Toxicology Through Neural Network Architectures: Predictive Modelling of Pharmacological Adverse Effects

Dr. Priya Rajagopal, Professor of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IIT Bombay)

1. Introduction to AI in Drug Toxicity Prediction

Identifying the potential toxicological effects of a new drug has assumed a key role in pharmaceutical development trials. New tools based on artificial intelligence that can more effectively and accurately identify such effects are highly desirable. A first step in this direction is represented by machine learning-based approaches that predict if and to what degree a given compound may increase the risk for side effects. Such a model could be used as a scoring system to effectively prioritize toxicity testing for a very large number of compounds. The current set of tools for predicting drug toxicity is based on empirical, expert-based heuristics that do not take advantage of the vast amounts of toxicogenomics data collected in the last 20 years.

The comprehensive use of chemical, biological, pharmacological, and toxicological data available today is currently faced with significant challenges. Drug-drug interactions and off-target activations are significant reasons for stopping the clinical development of drugs. Reliably predicting these side effects on the basis of *in silico* methods will rapidly lower the number of false positive findings when performing toxicogenomic read-across attempts. AI in the pharmaceutical market will capture one third of this industry by 2025, with help from drug toxicity prediction. *In silico* target prediction makes use of neural networks and decision trees. Such models address the challenges associated with processing toxicogenomics data. These are freely available and development continues. Understanding these changes in knowledge and method in the era of machine learning is highly relevant to those interested in drug safety.

2. The Importance of Predicting Drug Toxicity

Currently, one of the main tasks of drug research and development in the pharmaceutical industry facing high-throughput screening techniques is to predict the toxicity of drug candidates at the early stages. Undetected after approval, a toxic effect can lead to retracting a drug from the market, causing financial losses at various stages of its development, and in the case of a lethal outcome, patient safety becomes an ethical consideration. Predicting toxicological effects during the development of a drug candidate, during transitional research stages, and at the final preclinical safety assessment helps guide decision-making for a successful candidate. The earliest sign of a toxic effect is detected using *in vitro* studies, then *in vivo* research is shown.

Since the time and major resources used for drug development are connected with research and measures to minimize and assess possible harmful effects of drug candidates at the level of patients, the optimal format for using *in vitro* models for *in vivo* mechanisms should be determined at the earliest stage of drug development. At the level of drug discovery, drug therapy optimization can be done by affecting the formulation of the drug or making improvements to possible pharmacological activity or pharmacokinetic interaction. Regulatory requirements for the review of toxicological studies in drug preclinical research are consistent with the safe application of drug candidates for patients. Regulatory toxicology is the final part of the application of drugs for approval, with predefined safety standards to minimize public harm. Regulatory safety should be the last filter in the safety approval cascade. The goal as a whole, as ethical, is to confirm adequate safety before the publication of the drug to patients.

3. Machine Learning Techniques in Drug Toxicity Prediction

A core application of AI in toxicity prediction studies is to evaluate the computational model development and implementation of SAR- and OMIC-based platforms. Many machine learning algorithms have been employed to predict a toxicological endpoint using chemical and biological data. These algorithms treat the processed data from the OMICS and SAR fields with mathematical operations to define training and validation datasets. In general, these algorithms are classified into two categories: supervised learning and unsupervised learning. Supervised learning algorithms develop mathematical models from a dataset consisting of input feature data and output labels,

which are used to train the model. The model is then employed to predict responses for new independent data.

Supervised learning strategies have been employed to develop toxicity prediction models that are precise and accurate down to a classified categorical or continuous level, enabling the segmentation of data based on distinct levels of outcome, also known as a powerful prediction tool. In contrast to supervised learning, unsupervised learning algorithms cluster the relatedness of input feature data and build a mathematical model of the grouping structure. Unsupervised learning approaches have been used to test the clamping effect and calve appearance that may occur in some fresh liver. However, unsupervised learning may have both advantages and limitations compared with the supervised learning methods in some cases, because unsupervised learning models are used in a manner that can obliterate the clinical diagnosis to a certain extent. When creating prediction models, it is critical to carefully select algorithms to develop the models based on the specific data characteristics of the biological impacts produced.

3.1. Supervised Learning Algorithms

In drug toxicity prediction contexts, supervised learning algorithms are commonly used. Various algorithms exist for supervised learning, including decision trees, support vector machines, k-nearest neighbors, and neural networks. Decision trees establish a hierarchy of binary, if-then conditions that allow for predicting the final output for a new case. Support vector machines construct a hyperplane that separates the N-dimensional space into two classes. K-nearest neighbors assigns a class to an unknown sample based on the classes it has been assigned in its vicinity. Neural networks are multilayer hidden networks in which each edge, or synapse, has an individual weight, which is then updated after every training cycle.

Supervised learning algorithms hold great potential for use in toxicity prediction as the basis for models to predict toxic drugs by training them on a set of drugs and their target effects as labeled datasets. Feature selection to identify variables that are most informative for the prediction is a major challenge when applying supervised learning for toxicity prediction. It is also essential to address the challenges related to overfitting of the training data. Overfitting occurs when the model describes random error or noise instead of the underlying relationships, resulting in poor generalization to the test or validation data. It is possible to develop a model with high complexity and predictive

performance by using the wrong combination of training conditions, but this model is likely to perform poorly on new data. A tradeoff exists between model complexity and interpretability. Some of the successful prediction models that have been established using supervised learning techniques include those of various researchers. There are ongoing advancements that seek to improve the performance and success of supervised learning algorithms in toxicity prediction, such as optimally efficient feature selection and overfitting approaches. Innovations, such as that for ensemble modeling, will continue to be an important technology for overcoming limitations of supervised machine learning, as it can incorporate multiple classifiers. This will further enable the exploration of data science techniques and open up a vast range of sensors, which may provide new features and improve the characterization of chemicals, on top of the signals, responses, and biological data that are often used for supervised learning.

3.2. Unsupervised Learning Algorithms

Unsupervised learning, as opposed to supervised learning, is used when, due to the nature of the data, the task at hand is to uncover patterns in unlabeled data. The bottom-up approach to unsupervised learning can be achieved either using clustering techniques to segment data into meaningful groups of installations or using association approaches to uncover rules of thumb that point out data co-occurrences and dependencies. Cluster analysis has the ability to group medicines or their properties without using any predefined grouping information. These techniques are primarily used to seek unknown associations and patterns in the data. Unsupervised methods can provide conclusive and useful information for biological study, and association techniques are powerful in summarizing the more valuable information that can be finally analyzed by data analysis. Concerning data analysis, it is openly possible for an unsupervised model to detect possible unpredictable toxic outcomes that would not have been made available from a traditional labeled dataset, or to spot early toxicity signals that could be unveiled later by differential gene expression applications.

Clustering methodologies uncloak the inherent properties within each cluster of compounds, revealing properties that are impossible to identify directly on labeled sets. To demonstrate, PCA can be employed to highlight the most significant properties of each cluster and reduce the data complexity for interpretation. The integration of the unsupervised with the supervised learning would result in the improvement of the final

model by truncating the image data to be analyzed by the supervised learning and, eventually, ameliorating interpretation as a higher number of compounds are grouped together due to their similarity. In conclusion, unsupervised methods are powerful tools with an increasing field of applicability. Treatment- or induced-specific changes at the molecular level play a key role in providing early indicators of toxicity. Unsolicited predictive profiling of potential toxic outcomes finds particular use in early pipeline studies, screening, and data analysis investigations.

4. Data Sources and Preprocessing for Drug Toxicity Prediction

It is known that the accuracy and robustness of different AI approaches depend on the quality and diversity of the data utilized. A large variety of data sources can be exploited and used in combination by the platforms dealing with drug toxicity prediction: chemical databases and biological assays, particularly *in vitro*; and the published literature. Their exploitation allows one to deepen the knowledge about the compound as a "drug entity," to obtain more reliable empirical evidence by *in vitro* assays and/or *in vivo* studies, and to have a reported toxic effect. One of the most crucial issues in the management of data exploitation for drug toxicity is the availability of large collections of experimental, human, validated, and target-specific data.

The emphasis is given on the description of each step for the data preprocessing, such as raw data sources, uniformization, normalization; descriptors, molecular fingerprints, molecular topological descriptors, and so on. The usage of the original raw data and descriptors may lead to success in toxicity prediction; they are often concatenated to increase the diversity of input information the AI model relies on in toxicity prediction. When utilizing chemical and biological data, the majority of authors *in silico* have found that a set of molecular descriptors is used. Preprocessing of data can ensure more reliable predictions and may help tackle potential limitations associated with the data used for training. Dealing most of the time with databases, the lack of experimental validation can also be problematic. The vast majority of the issues related to the data on toxicity describe the available data as more often incomplete; they suffer from a considerable number of missing values, which must also be addressed by accurate processing of data. Therefore, methods and technologies need to be further developed to ensure a more pertinent and systematic approach to the management of toxicological

data, in order to produce computational predictions with improved reliability and relevance.

5. Challenges and Limitations in AI-Based Drug Toxicity Prediction

AI-based platforms are promising tools for predicting the in vivo risk of developmental and reproductive toxicities, skin sensitization, and ocular irritancy of drug candidates at early development stages. Yet, the development of sensitive algorithms is often stymied by low-quality data, algorithmic biases, and the complexity of drug responses on various cell types, targets, networks, or organs. Access to reliable ultra-large data sets to develop AI drug toxicity prediction platforms is a primary prerequisite for data-driven research. Such efforts require the systematic collection of 'big reference data' with both known and unknown drug-induced toxicities and the use of computational knowledge-discovery methods to reduce possible experimental biases in any data's origin, processing, or analysis. Thus, the above consideration is a matter of major interest for the scientific community. For illustrative purposes, main problems were briefly discussed and itemized.

The volume of data is continually growing. Unique drugs that have not yet been registered or established for various target classes are also being planned or synthesized. Additionally, advancements in models and procedures prompted the development of databases, original prediction platforms, and computational tools. Nonetheless, the information related to toxicity is often fragile and/or scarce. This lack of information makes it challenging to develop AI models for drugs and cosmetic products. The aforementioned limitations need to be addressed.

6. Future Direction

Future Directions

Development of AI algorithms for predicting changes in biological systems that are due to drug administration is an ongoing research area given the fact that current methods are far from perfect. However, flexible use of AI within a regulatory framework will require increased investment in algorithm development in order to achieve high accuracy, increased orthogonal systems to generate both new data and new chemical space for the AI algorithms to interpret, building non-linear AI models that can be more easily experimentally validated, and increasing the depth of AI knowledge regarding

the connection between small molecule feature spaces and relevant clinical safety and efficacy. In addition, developing and validating novel algorithms to integrate AI methods with other advanced technologies, such as genomics and next-generation tools, would be a valuable asset. Thus, interdisciplinary teams that blend cheminformatics and safety expertise with biologists and an array of other scientists will be required.

The future for these AI-driven platforms looks bright, as new approaches, expertise, and greater data quality and availability come onstream. Both academic and industrial interest in using AI to transform the drug development process appears to be at a peak. One major innovation might be the next generation of AI models that could be so-called 'active learning systems'; models trained to continually scan scientific literature, patent databases, electronic health records, and other documents to keep themselves informed. This will enable algorithms not only to suggest potential issues and claims around a new molecular entity, but also ensure that the flagging has not become redundant over time. Such developments could assist regulatory authorities and public confidence alike. Regulatory tailwinds are also predicted, as drug developers and the FDA alike push for the more widespread use of AI methodologies.

7. Conclusion

Predictive modeling of drug toxicity is essential in reducing drug attrition and enhancing patient safety. In this regard, AI-based predictive platforms are providing new dimensions and insights on the development of less harmful drugs. The models employed in toxicity prediction are designed for structures, ADME, and proteomics/toxicogenomics, as well as in silico model validation. AI has the potential to revolutionize drug safety assessment by developing more convenient and less time-consuming alternatives. However, AI methods are contingent on the continuous development of data handling and management techniques, as well as machine learning strategies. This overview discusses the requirements and present learnings in order to advance the employment of AI-based methods in the identification of toxic drugs. The limitations and considerations outlined herein should be taken into account in the future developments of accurate predictive models.

In conclusion, predicting drug safety/toxicity, including adverse reactions, though challenging, is of primary concern in healthcare and pharmaceutical science. Thus, new molecular targets and compounds should be designed with safety in mind—an

approach that could transform both drug development and public health outcomes. With the continuous technical improvements of AI in pharmaceutical and healthcare practices, we anticipate that AI will revolutionize traditional drug safety evaluations via *in silico*, *in vitro*, and *in vivo* ADME investigations. Consequently, the availability of predictive data would support the safety and clinical phases of approval in modern legal frameworks. Nevertheless, interdisciplinary cooperation between data scientists, chemoinformaticians, medicinal chemists, toxicologists, and regulatory bodies is indispensable to develop trustworthy and general toxicological standards for innovative drug applicants.